

Tenterfield LEP 2013	3 - Amendment No 2 - Heritag	e Matters	
Proposal Title :	Tenterfield LEP 2013 - Amendn	nent No 2 - Heritage Matters	
Proposal Summary :	It is proposed to amend Tenterfield LEP 2013 by; * Including 3 Heritage Conservation Areas, * Adding 11 additional items to Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage; and * Correcting errors contained within the existing Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage.		
PP Number :	PP_2013_TENTE_002_00	Dop File No :	13/20389
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	16-Dec-2013	LGA covered :	Tenterfield
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Tenterfield Shire Council
State Electorate :	NORTHERN TABLELANDS	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lar	nd within the Tenterfield Shire LGA	A as identified in the Plannin	ig Proposal
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Gina Davis		
Contact Number :	0267019687		
Contact Email :	gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.au	1	
<b>RPA Contact Detai</b>	ils		
Contact Name :	Tamai Davidson		
Contact Number :	0267366015		
Contact Email :	t.davidson@tenterfield.nsw.gov.a	au	
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Data	I		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Ν/Α	Consistent with Strategy	N/A

### Tenterfield LEP 2013 - Amendment No 2 - Heritage Matters

enterneid LEP 2013 -	- Amendment No 2 - Heritage		
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment : The Department of Planning and Infrastructure's Code of Practice in relation to communications and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with to the best of the Region's knowledge. The Northern Region has not met with any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Northern Region been advised of any meeting between other Departmental Officers and lobbyists concerning the proposal.			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes : This matter has been referred to the LEP Panel for consideration in accordance with the department's plan making protocol. The proposal involves a heritage LEP that is not supported by a local heritage strategy endorsed by the Office of Environment and Heritage or by preliminary comments from the Office of Environment and Heritage. Council has advised that the Planning Proposal has been prepared without consultation with the Office of Environment and Heritage.		heritage LEP that is not e of Environment and Heritage and Heritage. Council has	
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessment			
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objectives and intended of for the proposed amendment		oposal are adequately expressed
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)		
Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes			
Comment :	The Planning Proposal provid the objectives and intended o		intended provisions to achieve
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the Director G	eneral? Yes	
b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 2.3 Heritage Conservation			
* May need the Director	General's agreement		

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other	The New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the
matters that need to	Tenterfield LGA
be considered :	

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A

If No, explain :

### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No

Comment :

Mapping of the proposed heritage conservation areas has been included in the Planning Proposal. No mapping of the 11 additional items proposed for inclusion in Schedule 5 Environmental has been provided.

It is recommended that mapping of the 11 additional items be including in the Planning Proposal prior to public exhibition to help inform the public. It is also recommended that the Planning Proposal be amended prior to public exhibition to include more legible and consistent mapping of the heritage conservation areas to assist the public (particularly in relation to the Town Centre Heritage Conservation Area).

#### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

The relevant planning authority has identified a 14 day exhibition period for the proposal. Due to the nature of the Planning Proposal, and the number of properties it will affect, it is considered that a 28 day notification period would be more appropriate. It is recommended that Part 5 of the Planning Proposal also be amended to include notification of the proposal on Council's webpage.

#### Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by:
	1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;
	2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the outcomes;
	3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;
	4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and
	5. Providing a project time line.
	Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the
	Planning Proposal deals with matters of only local significance, it is considered
	appropriate that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council.
	The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that the LEP will be ready for submission to the Department for finalisation in March 2014. This has however assumed
	a Gateway Determination date of 9 December 2013 and no consultation with public

# Tenterfield LEP 2013 - Amendment No 2 - Heritage Matters

	time line prior to pub	ng Proposal will therefore need to b lic exhibition. The proposed 6 mont o be difficlut for Council to achieve	th time frame for completion of
oposal Assessment			
Principal LEP:			
Due Date :			
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Tenterfield LEP 2013 wa	s made in April 2013.	
Assessment Criteria			
Need for planning proposal :	The Planning Proposal is needed to correct errors in the existing LEP heritage schedule and to apply appropriate heritage provisions to historically significant items and areas in the Tenterfield LGA.		
	Committee on 5 June 20	ents were considered and endorsed 13. The amendments are the result hibition period or due to issues ider nittee.	of submissions during the
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Directions and the New	s considered to be consistent with England North West Strategic Regio a local growth management strateg	onal Land Use Plan. Council
	Heritage Management Pl Council has confirmed h Area has been significar Management Plan (as ill advised that the conserv buildings not included in values of the area. While Heritage Conservation A that the Planning Propos	ing Proposal states that it is consis- lan 2002 in relation to the 3 propose nowever that the proposed Town Ce ntly enlarged in comparison to the a sustrated in Maps 1 and 1a of the Pla vation area has been enlarged to ca in the original area and to help prote to no bjeciton is raised to the enlarg area for the purposes of public cons sal be amended prior to exhibition to terfield Shire Heritage Management	ed heritage conservation areas. Entre Heritage Conservation area identified under the 2002 anning Proposal). Council has ter for a number of historic ect the historic setting and gement of the Town Centre sultation, it is recommended to more clearly clarify its
Environmental social economic impacts :	No significant adverse e resulting from the propo	nvironmental, social or economic i sal.	mpact has been identified as
ssessment Process	5		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environment ar	nd Heritage	

s Public Hearing by the PAC required?	No
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?	Yes
If no, provide reasons :	
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : <b>No</b>	
If Yes, reasons :	
Identify any additional studies, if required.	
If Other, provide reasons :	
Identify any internal consultations, if required :	
No internal consultation required	
Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :	

## Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Tenterfield LEP 2013_Amendment No 2_Proposal covering letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Tenterfield LEP 2013_Amendment No 2_Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Tenterfield LEP 2013_Amendment No 2_Council resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Tenterfield LEP 2013_Amendment No 2_Maps.pdf	Мар	Yes
Tenterfield LEP 2013_Amendment No 2_Attachment No 1_Info checklist.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Tenterfield LEP 2013_Amendment No 2_Attachment No 4_Evaluation criteria.pdf	Proposal	No

# Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	2.3 Heritage Conservation	
Additional Information ;	It is recommended that: 1) The Planning Proposal be supported subject to conditions: 2) The Planning Proposal be exhibited for a period of 28 days; 3) The Planning Proposal should be completed within 9 months; 4) The Planning Proposal be amended prior to exhibition to: - include revised mapping of the heritage conservation areas and the 11 additional items to be included in the Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage; - amend the proposal to more clearly clarify the differences between the proposed Town Centre Heritage Conservation Area and the Tenterfield Shire Heritage Management Plan 2002; - amend Part 5 of the Planning Proposal to accommodate a 28 day public exhibition period and notification of the proposal on Council's webpage; - include a revised project time line (that addresses the Gateway determination date and consultation with public authorities); 5) Consultation with the following agencies and organisations should be required prior to public exhibition: - NSW Office of Heritage and Environment - Heritage Branch; and	

Tenterfield LEP 2013 - Amendment No 2 - Heritage Matters		
	6) Than an authorisation to exercise plan making delegations be issued to Council.	
Supporting Reasons :	The Planning Proposal is needed to recognise and provide appropriate heritage protection to the proposed conservation areas and items and to correct errors in the existing LEP heritage schedule.	
Observations	<u>\</u> .	
Signature:		
Printed Name:	<u>Cray Diss</u> Date: <u>20/12/13</u>	